arXiv:1303.0406v1 [math.NT] 2 Mar 2013

THE Λ-ADIC EICHLER-SHIMURA ISOMORPHISM AND p-ADIC ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY

PRESTON WAKE

Abstract. We give a new proof of Ohta's Λ-adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism using p-adic Hodge theory and the results of Bloch-Kato and Hyodo on p-adic étale cohomology.

1. Introduction

We study the relationship between the ordinary p-adic étale cohomology of the modular curve and the group of ordinary cusp forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The p-adic étale cohomology of the special fiber is central to our approach. We show that the group of ordinary cusp forms is naturally dual to the ordinary cohomology of the special fiber (Theorem 1.1). We also show that the ordinary cohomology of the modular curve has a two step filtration coming from the cohomology of the special fiber (Theorem 1.2). As a consequence, we give a new proof of Ohta's Λ-adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism (Theorem 1.3).

The utility of Ohta's Λ-adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism in Iwasawa theory is already well-known. It was used by Ohta in [O2] to give a simplified version of Mazur and Wiles' proof of the Iwasawa Main Conjecture. It was also used by him in [O4] to show a finer version of the Main Conjecture when a certain Hecke algebra is Gorenstein. It was further used by Sharifi in [S] in the formulation of his conjectures, and by Fukaya and Kato in [K-F] in their partial solution of Sharifi's conjectures.

Ohta's proof of his Eichler-Shimura isomorphism relies on the study of "good quotients" of p-divisible groups of modular Jacobians, as introduced by Mazur and Wiles ([M-W]). This proof is very special to the case of modular curves and seems impossible to generalize to the case of higher dimensional Shimura varieties.

In contrast, our proof uses only techniques of p-adic Hodge theory. In some sense, this resolves Ohta's question ([O1, pg. 52]) of whether his theory can be reconciled with Faltings's. The main result in integral p-adic Hodge theory we use is from Bloch and Kato's seminal work [B-K]. This theory works for ordinary varieties of any dimension. Therefore, our methods should generalize to other Shimura varieties if it can be shown that "the ordinary part is ordinary" (cf. Lemma 3.1).

1.1. **Main Results.**

We first fix some notation in order to state our main results.

1.1.1. Let p be a prime number and N be a number such that $p \nmid N$, and assume $Np > 4$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_r = X_1(Np^r)/\mathbb{Z}_p$ be the modular curve. Let X_r (resp. Y_r) be its generic (resp. special) fiber. Let $S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ be the group of weight 2 cusp forms of level Np^r with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

For a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module M, let $M^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. If M has an unramified G_{Q_p}-action, let $D(M) = (M \otimes W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p))^{Fr_p=1}$. Note that $D(M)$ is non-canonically isomorphic to M ([K-F, Proposition 1.7.6]).

A superscript *ord* will indicate the $T(p)$-ordinary part (in the sense of Hida) (cf. 2.2.1).

1.1.2. Our first main result can be thought of as a version of Serre duality for integral cusp forms.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$S_2(Np^r)^{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cong D(H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee$$

for all r.

Our second result gives a filtration of $H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}$ in terms of the cohomology of the special fiber.

Theorem 1.2. *There is a natural exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] & (H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee(\kappa^{-1}\langle - \rangle^{-1}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

for all r. The first map is the natural one, and the second map comes from Poincaré duality (cf. 2.3).

The $(\kappa^{-1}\langle - \rangle^{-1})$ on the right side indicates that the action of $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is twisted by $\kappa(\sigma)^{-1}\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}$, where κ is the cyclotomic character, and $\langle\sigma\rangle = \langle a \rangle$ is the diamond operator for the a such that $\sigma(\zeta_{Np^r}) = \zeta^a_{Np^r}$.

In particular, we see that the cohomology of the special fiber makes up the whole unramified part of the representation. Combining this with Theorem 1.1, we obtain a refined version of Ohta's Λ-adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism ([O1]).

Theorem 1.3. *Let I be the inertia subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$S_2(Np^r)^{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \to D(H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord, I})^\vee$$

for all r.

Remark 1.4. Our Theorem 1.3 is a refinement of Ohta's result in a few ways. One is that our isomorphism is defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, where Ohta's is over $\mathcal{O}_L$, where $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ contains all roots of unity. Another is that Ohta's result requires $p > 3$, where ours requires only that $Np > 4$ (this assumption is made so that $\mathfrak{X}_r$ is a nice moduli space).

Another advantage of our proof is that the comparison of the Galois and Hecke actions is easy to see for $H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}$ (cf. Lemma 2.2). This type of compatibility for $D(H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord, I})$ was proven with great difficulty in ([K-F, Proposition 1.8.1]), but follows easily from our results. Partial results were obtained earlier by Mazur-Wiles and by Ohta, by still different methods.

1.2. **Method of proof.** We outline the idea of the proofs of our results.

1.2.1. We outline the proof of Theorem 1.1. The idea is that cusp forms are given by differential forms, and so, by Serre duality, should be dual to coherent cohomology. In characteristic p, coherent cohomology is almost the same as p-adic cohomology (Section 5). The difficulty is that $\mathfrak{X}_r$ is not smooth, and so the dualizing sheaf is not the sheaf of 1-forms. Still, we show that ordinary cusp forms are given by ordinary sections of the dualizing sheaf (Section 4).

1.2.2. We outline the proof of Theorem 1.2. We first prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in order to get a control theorem for the cohomology of the special fiber (Corollary 6.4). This allows reduce to the case $r = 1$. In the case $r = 1$, we first extend the base ring in order to obtain a semi-stable model. Then the result can be obtained using the method of [B-K] (Section 3).

1.3. **Notation.** A bar will indicate algebraic or integral closure (i.e. $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p$), or base change to such (i.e. $\overline{X}_r = X_r \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Spec}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$).

For a field F, we let $G_F = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

2. Modular Curves and Hecke Operators

2.1. **Modular Curves.** We quickly review some necessary facts about modular curves. For more details, see [K-M] and [D-R].

2.1.1. Let $\mathfrak{X}_r = X_1(Np^r)/\mathbb{Z}_p$ be the moduli space of pairs (E, P), where E is a generalized elliptic curve and $P \in E$ is a point of exact order Np^r. It is proper and flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We write X_r (resp. Y_r) for the generic (resp. special) fiber. It is known that X_r is smooth, and that Y_r is a local complete intersection. In particular, the morphism $\mathfrak{X}_r \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is Gorenstein.

2.1.2. The geometry of Y_r is well-understood: it is a union of $r + 1$ irreducible components, all intersecting transversely at each supersingular point. We label the irreducible components $Y_r^{(a)}$ where $0 \leq a \leq r$. To review this most simply, let $N = 1$ for the remainder of this paragraph. Note that a point $(E, P) \in Y$ with E and ordinary elliptic curve gives a degree p^r isogeny $\pi : E \to E'$ with $\langle P \rangle = \ker(\pi)$. In characteristic p, all such isogenies are isomorphic to $V^a F^b$, where F (resp. V) is the Frobenius (resp. Verschiebung) isogeny and $a + b = r$. The component $Y_r^{(a)}$ corresponds to the points where $\pi \cong V^a F^b$.

2.2. **Hecke Operators.** For the definition of the Hecke operators $T(n)$ and the diamond operators $\langle a \rangle$, we refer to [K-F, 1.2]. We let $\mathfrak{h}_r$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra of Hecke operators acting on $\mathfrak{X}_r$.

2.2.1. For an $\mathfrak{h}_r$-module M that is of finite type over a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra we let M^{ord} be the largest direct summand on which $T(p)$ acts invertably. If M is finite type over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ then $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} T(p)^{n!}$ exist and is an idempotent of $\mathrm{End}(M)$, and M^{ord} is the corresponding summand.

2.2.2. We review the action of $T(p)$ on Y_r. If $(E, P) \in Y_r^{(a)}$ with E an ordinary elliptic curve, then

$$T(p) = \sum_{Q \in E \,:\, pQ = P} (E/C_a, \overline{Q}),$$

where C_a is the subgroup $\ker(F)$ if $a \neq r$ and the subgroup generated by $p^{r-1}P$ if $a = r$. From this we can deduce the following lemma, as in [M-W].

Lemma 2.1. *As a correspondence on the ordinary locus of Y_r (that is, an endomorphism of $\bigoplus_{a=0}^{r} Div(Y_r^{(a)})$) we have*

$$T(p) \in \begin{pmatrix} Fr_* & * & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & ... & 0 \\ ... \\ 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & Fr^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

where Fr denotes the relative Frobenius, and Fr_* and Fr^* are the usual pushforward and pullback of divisors.

From this we can deduce:

Lemma 2.2. *On $H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}$ we have $T(p) = \varphi$, where $\varphi \in G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the geometric Frobenius.*

Proof. Indeed, the action of Fr_* on $H^1(\overline{Y}_r^{(r)}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is through the trace map on the sheaf $\mathbb{Z}_p$, which is multiplication by p on stalks (and so is nilpotent). The natural action of Fr^* on étale cohomology is by the geometric Frobenius. □

2.3. **Poincaré duality.** The usual Poincaré pairing

$$H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p) \times H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)(1) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$$

exchanges $T(p)$ and $T^*(p)$, and so is unsuitable for discussing ordinary parts. Following Ohta, we use a twisted Poincaré pairing which is equivarient for the the Hecke action (cf. [K-F, Section 1.7] for more details). In particular, we have a perfect pairing of $\mathfrak{h}_r[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$-modules

$$H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \times H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}(\kappa\langle - \rangle) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$$

where the $(\kappa\langle - \rangle)$ on the right side indicates that the action of $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is twisted by $\kappa(\sigma)\langle\sigma\rangle$, where κ is the cyclotomic character, and $\langle\sigma\rangle = \langle a \rangle$ for the a such that $\sigma(\zeta_{Np^r}) = \zeta_{Np^r}^a$.

The second map in the exact sequence of Theorem 1.2 is the composition

$$H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \to (H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee(\kappa^{-1}\langle - \rangle^{-1}) \to (H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee(\kappa^{-1}\langle - \rangle^{-1})$$

where the first map is the isomorphism of Poincaré duality and the second is the dual of the natural inclusion.

2.4. **Semi-stable model.** We note that $\mathfrak{X}_1$ is not semi-stable because Y_1 is not reduced. However, there is a (well-known) semi-stable model for X_1 over $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$. We describe this model using log geometry.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic definitions in log geometry, especially the definition of log-smoothness, and the relationship between semi-stability and log-smoothness (cf. [Sa, Section 1.2]). We denote by $\times^{log}$ the fiber product in the category of fine, saturated log-schemes (cf. Lemma 1.7 of *loc. cit.* for a local description).

Theorem 2.3. *The scheme $\mathfrak{X}_1$, equipped with the natural log-structure, is log-smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover $\mathfrak{X}' = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times^{log}_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$ is semi-stable.*

Proof. For the first statement, we will show that the natural map

$$X_1(Np) \to X_0(Np)$$

is log-étale, and that $X_0(Np)$ is log-smooth over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The map is well-known to be étale on the ordinary locus, so we just have to show that the map is log-étale at the super-singular points.

The local equation at a super-singular point of $X_1(Np)$ is

$$t_1 t_2^{p-1} = p,$$

where the local equation of $X_0(Np)$ is

$$t_1 t_2 = p,$$

and the map is given by $t_1 \mapsto t_1$ and $t_2 \mapsto t_2^{p-1}$ (cf. [K-M, Chapter 13], especially (13.5.6)). This shows that $X_0(Np)$ is semi-stable, and thus log-smooth. The map is log-étale since the cokernel is order $p-1$.

For the second statement, consider the local equation of $X_1(Np)$ after we extend scalars to $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$.

We see that

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{t_2}\right)^{p-1} = t_1$$

where $\pi = p^{1/p-1}$ is a uniformizer of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$. We see that $t_1' := \pi/t_2$ is in the saturization of the log structure. The local equation for $\mathfrak{X}'$ is then

$$t_1' t_2 = \pi.$$

This is the same as the well-known semi-stable model for $X_1(Np)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$ (cf. eg. [D-R, Section V.2]) □

2.4.1. Let Y' denote the special fiber of $\mathfrak{X}'$. The natural map $Y' \to Y_1$ is factors as $Y' \to (Y_1)_{red} \to Y_1$. From the above description, it is clear that $Y' \to (Y_1)_{red}$ is an isomorphism. We see that the natural map on cohomology

$$H^i(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^i(Y', \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is an isomorphism for all i. In particular, the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $H^1(\overline{Y}', \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is trivial.

3. p-ADIC ÉTALE COHOMOLOGY

3.1. **The method of Bloch-Kato.** Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$. We consider the cohomology of X_1 by using the semi-stable model $\mathfrak{X}'/\mathcal{O}_K$ (2.4). We have the pair of Cartisian squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{X}' \arrow[d] & Y' \arrow[l, "i"'] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Spec} K \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Spec} O_K & \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_p. \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We use the results of Bloch and Kato [B-K] (as extended by Hyodo [**?**] to the semi-stable case) to study the p-adic nearby cycles

$$M_n^q = i^* R^q j_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}(q).$$

3.1.1. The method of Bloch-Kato-Hyodo is to compare the sheaves M_n^q to the logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves $W_n\omega^q_{Y',log}$, whose definitions and properties we now briefly recall from [H]. Let $\omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}'}$ be the sheaf of one-forms with (at worst) log poles at the supersingular points, and $\omega^1_{Y'} = \omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}'} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. It is the dualizing sheaf on Y'.

There is a (Frobenious anti-linear) Cartier operator C on $\omega^1_{Y'}$, and we define $W_1\omega^1_{Y',log} = \omega^1_{Y',log} = \ker(1 - C^{-1} : \omega^1_{Y'})$. The sheaves $W_n\omega^1_{Y',log}$ can be defined and they fit in exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \omega^1_{Y',log} \arrow[r] & W_n\omega^1_{Y',log} \arrow[r] & W_{n-1}\omega^1_{Y',log} \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

We can define $W\omega^1_{Y',log}$ as the inverse limit of the $W_n\omega^1_{Y',log}$.

3.1.2. The results of Bloch-Kato and Hyodo apply in the case of ordinary varieties, that is, varieties for which $H^i(Y, d\omega^j_Y) = 0$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. Our $\mathfrak{X}'$ is not an ordinary variety. However, the important observation is the coincidence of two uses of the adjective "ordinary":

Lemma 3.1. *The ordinary part of $\mathfrak{X}'$ is ordinary. That is, $H^i(\overline{Y'}, d\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y'}})^{ord} = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$.*

Proof. This follows from the shape of the correspondence

$$T(p) = \begin{pmatrix} Fr^* & * \\ 0 & Fr_* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $d\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y'}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y'}}/\mathcal{O}^p_{\overline{Y'}}$ we see that both Fr^* and Fr_* act as 0, and so $T(p)$ acts nilpotently. ☐

We see that the arguments of Bloch-Kato and Hyodo apply for the ordinary parts. In particular, we have the following analog of [B-K, Theorem 9.2, Corollary 9.4].

Theorem 3.2. *The spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s(\overline{Y'}, \overline{M^t_r}(-t))^{ord} \implies H^{s+t}(\overline{X_1}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^{ord}$$

degenerates at the E_2 page. Moreover, we have isomorphisms

$$H^q(\overline{Y'}, \overline{M^t_n})^{ord} \cong H^q(\overline{Y'}, W_n\omega^t_{\overline{Y'},log})^{ord}.$$

Proof. Indeed, examining the proofs of [B-K, Theorem 92, Corollary 9.4], we see that the ordinary assumption is only used in the proof of the vanishing theorem [B-K, Corollary 8.2, pg. 142]. However, we see that if we take the ordinary component of each exact sequence appearing in that proof, then Lemma 3.1 is enough to show the vanishing theorem for the ordinary component. ☐

Corollary 3.3. *We have a natural exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{Y'}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{X_1}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] & H^0(\overline{Y'}, W\omega^1_{\overline{Y'},log})^{ord}(-1) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Follows from the degeneration of the spectral sequence. ☐

3.2. **Duality on Y'.**

Proposition 3.4. *The natural pairing*

$$H^1(\overline{Y'},\mathbb{Z}_p)\times H^0(\overline{Y'},W\omega^1_{\overline{Y'},log}) \longrightarrow H^1(\overline{Y'},W\omega^1_{\overline{Y'},log}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

is perfect.

Proof. It is enough to show that the pairing modulo p

$$H^1(\overline{Y'},\mathbb{Z}/p)\times H^0(\overline{Y'},W_1\omega^1_{\overline{Y'},log}) \to \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

is perfect.

We have the perfect pairing

$$H^1(\overline{Y'},\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y'}})\times H^0(\overline{Y'},\omega^1_{\overline{Y'}}) \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p.$$

of Serre duality. Under this pairing, the Frobenius on $H^1(\overline{Y'},\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y'}})$ is dual the the inverse Cartier operator on $H^0(\overline{Y'},\omega^1_{\overline{Y'}})$. The result now follows from the following lemma in p-linear algebra. ☐

Lemma 3.5. *Let V be a finite-dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-vector spaces and V^* its dual space. Let ϕ be a Frobenius-linear endomorphism of V. Then the natural pairing on $V\times V^*$ induces a perfect pairing*

$$\ker(1-\phi:V)\times\ker(1-\phi^*:V^*)\to\mathbb{F}_p.$$

3.3. **The result for X_1.**

Propostion 3.4 together with Corollary 3.3 give the following result, which is the case $r=1$ of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.6. *We have a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\overline{Y}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \longrightarrow H^1(\overline{X}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \longrightarrow (H^1(\overline{Y}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee(\kappa\langle - \rangle) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It is clear that the sequence is a complex. To show that it is acyclic, it suffices to show it is so as a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules (ignoring the Galois action). We compare it to the sequence of Corollary 3.3.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{Y}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & H^1(\overline{X}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & (H^1(\overline{Y}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{Y'},\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{X}_1,\mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \arrow[r] & H^0(\overline{Y'},W\omega^1_{\overline{Y'},log})^{ord} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The leftmost vertical map is the identification from (2.4.1); the commutativity of the left square is clear. The rightmost vertical map is the composition of that identification and the isomorphism of Proposition 3.4. The commutativity follows from the compatibility of Serre and Poincaré dualities. ☐

4. CUSP FORMS AND THE DUALIZING SHEAF

4.1. **Duality.**

We recall some general theory of duality. For more details, see [D-R, II.2].

Theorem 4.1 (Grothendieck)**.** *Let $f:X\to Y$ be a proper, finite type morphism of noetherian schemes. Then there is a right adjoint $f^!$ to Rf_*. It satisfies the following properties*

1) If f is Cohen-Macaulay of pure relative dimension d, then $f^!\mathcal{O}_Y$ has only non-zero cohomology in degree $-d$, and we call $H^{-d}(f^!\mathcal{O}_Y) = \Omega_{X/Y}$ the dualizing sheaf.

2) If, in addition, f is Gorenstein, then $\Omega_{X/Y}$ is invertible.

3) If, in addition, f is smooth, then $\Omega_{X/Y} = \Omega^d_{X/Y}$, the sheaf of top forms.

If $Y = \mathrm{Spec}A$ is affine, we denote $\Omega_{X/Y}$ by $\Omega_{X/A}$, or, when no confusion can arise, simply by Ω_X.

4.2. **Cusp forms.** We recall some notions about cusp forms of weight 2.

4.2.1. Define $S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H^0(X_r, \Omega^1_{X_r})$. We would also like to discuss $S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, the cusp forms with integral coefficients. In the case $r = 0$, we can define $S_2(N)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = H^0(\mathfrak{X}_0, \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}_0})$. However, when $r > 0$, $\mathfrak{X}_r/\mathbb{Z}_p$ is not smooth, and so the sheaf $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}_r}$ is not as nice. Instead, we define

$$S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \{f \in S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mid a_n(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for all } n > 0\},$$

where $a_n(f)$ are the coefficients in the q-expansion. The next proposition shows why this definition agrees with the above definition in the case $r = 0$.

Proposition 4.2. *(cf.* [G, Proposition 8.4]*) There is a natural injective map*

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r}) \to S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

It is an isomorphism after tensoring $\mathbb{Q}_p$. If $r = 0$, then it is an isomorphism.

Proof. There is clearly an injective map

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r}) \to H^0(X_r, \Omega_{X_r})$$

by restriction. Since $X_r/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is smooth, $\Omega_{X_r} = \Omega^1_{X_r}$, and so the codomain is $S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We claim the the image is contained in $S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. We just need to check that the q-expansion is integral. However, the q-expansion is just the pull-back by the cusp $\infty : S = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_p((q)) \to \mathfrak{X}_r$, so if $f \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r})$, then $\infty^* f \in H^0(S, \Omega^1_{S/\mathbb{Z}_p})$, and the result is clear.

To see the last statement, notice that, for any r, an element of $S_2(Np^r)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ gives a meromorphic section of the line bundle $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r}$. The poles form a divisor supported on the special fibre. Since the q-expansion is integral, the divisor doesn't intersect the component containing the image of ∞. In the case $r = 0$, the special fibre is irreducible, and so we see that the divisor must be empty. □

4.3. **Ordinary cusp forms.** For $r > 0$, the map in Proposition 4.2 is not an isomorphism. However, it does induce an isomorphism on ordinary parts. The idea of the proof comes from [O1, Proposition 3.4.8].

Theorem 4.3. *The map in Proposition 4.2 induces an isomorphism*

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r})^{ord} \cong S_2(Np^r)^{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

Proof. We give the proof in the case $r = 1$, for simplicity. The proof in the general case is the same but more cumbersome.

We first note that, since it is an isomorphism after tensoring $\mathbb{Q}_p$, it is enough to show that it is an isomorphism after tensoring any integral extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$; taking intersections then gives the result. We will prove it over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\pi = 1 - \zeta_p \in \mathcal{O}_K$, a uniformizer.

Let ∞' be a cusp of $\mathfrak{X}_1$ whose image is in $Y_1^{(0)}$ and that is defined over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Note that, by 2.1, $T(p) = Fr^* = 0$ on $H^0(Y_1^{(0)}, \Omega^1_{Y_1^{(0)}})$. If $g \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_1})_{\mathcal{O}_K}$, then

$$\infty'^*(T(p)g) \mod \pi = \infty'^*(Fr^*g) \mod \pi = 0 \mod \pi.$$

Let $f \in S_2(Np)^{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$. Since the cokernel is p-torsion, there exists a least non-negative integer n such that $g = \pi^n f \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_1})^{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$. Then, by the previous calculation $\infty'^*(T(p)g) \in \pi\mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$, and so $\infty'^*(g) \in \pi\mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$, since g is ordinary. Thus $\infty'^*(\pi^{n-1}f) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$.

Since $\infty^* f \in \mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$ already, we have that $\infty^* g \in \pi^n\mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$. Thus, if $n > 0$, $\infty^*(\pi^{n-1}f) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$ and $\infty'^*(\pi^{n-1}f) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[q]]$, and so $\pi^{n-1}f \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r})^{ord}$, contradicting the minimality of n. Therefore $n = 0$ and f is in the image, completing the proof. □

5. The dualizing sheaf and the special fiber

5.1. **Coherent and p-adic cohomology.** For a variety in characteristic p, we can compare the coherent and p-adic cohomologies using the Artin-Schreier sequence. The result we need is the following.

Lemma 5.1. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \otimes W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \to H^1(\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}})^{ord}$$

for any $r > 0$.

Proof. On $\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}$, there is a natural map of sheaves $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}}$. This induces a map on cohomology

$$H^1(\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^1(\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}}).$$

Proper base change, extension of scalars, and ordinary parts give the map in question.

To show that it is an isomorphism, it is enough to show that it is an isomorphism modulo p. The map modulo p comes from extending scalars in the first map of the Artin-Schreier sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{ord} \arrow[r] & H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}})^{ord} \arrow[r, "1-Fr"] & H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}})^{ord} \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Since Fr acts as an isomorphism on $H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}})^{ord}$ (Lemma 2.1), p-linear algebra gives us that the map

$$H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{ord} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \to H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}})^{ord}.$$

is an isomorphism. □

Recall the notation $D(M) = (M \otimes W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p))^{Fr_p=1}$ for an unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$-module M. This notation was used in [K-F, section 1.7].

Corollary 5.2. *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r})^{ord} \to D(H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee.$$

Proof. By duality, we have a natural isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathfrak{X}_r, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}_r})^{ord} \cong (H^1(\mathfrak{X}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_r})^{ord})^\vee.$$

Noting the isomorphism, $H^1(\mathfrak{X}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_r}) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{r,W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)}})^{Fr_p=1}$, the result follows from the previous lemma. □

5.1.1. Combining Corollary 5.2 with the Theorem 4.3, we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6. From $X_1(Np)$ to $X_1(Np^r)$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we want to reduce to the case $r = 1$. In order to do this, we need a control theorem for $H^1(\overline{Y}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}$. In fact, we show that it is isomorphic to $H^1(\overline{X}_r, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I}$, which is known to have a control theorem by Hida and Ohta.

6.1. A map.

We construct a map that will be useful in proving Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 6.1. *For any $r \geq 0$, there is a natural map*

$$D(H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I})^\vee \to S_2(Np^r)^{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

For $r = 1$, it is an isomorphism.

Proof. There is a natural map

$$H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \to H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I}$$

given by the Leray spectral sequence and proper base change. For $r = 1$, it is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.6. Applying $D(\ )^\vee$, we get a natural map

$$D(H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I})^\vee \to D(H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord})^\vee.$$

Composing with the isomorphism of Theorem 1.1 gives the map in question. □

6.2. Control Theorems.

We now consider the situation at the limit as $r \to \infty$. The results of this subsection are well-known, and based on ideas of Hida; for a more detailed review, see [O1], especially (1.3), (1.4), and (2.6).

6.2.1. We let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \varprojlim \mathfrak{h}_r$. We have $\Lambda \to \mathfrak{h}$ given by diamond operators, and we consider $\mathfrak{h}$-modules as Λ-modules through this action. Let $\omega_r = (1+T)^{p^{r-1}} - 1 \in \Lambda$. We let

$$S_\Lambda = \varprojlim S_2(Np^r)^{ord},\ H_\Lambda = \varprojlim H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord},\ H^I_\Lambda = \varprojlim H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I}.$$

Then the Control Theorem states that these are finite, free Λ-modules, and there are isomorphisms

$$S_\Lambda/\omega_r = S_2(Np^r)^{ord},\ H_\Lambda/\omega_r = H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord},\ H^I_\Lambda/\omega_r = H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I}.$$

6.3. Results.

We can now prove our main results.

Theorem 6.2. *The natural map of Proposition 6.1*

$$D(H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I})^\vee \to S_2(Np^r)^{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

is an isomorphism for all r.

Proof. By the Control Theorem and Nakayama's Lemma, it suffices to show it for $r = 1$. However, this is already shown in Proposition 6.1. □

We have the following immediate corollaries.

Corollary 6.3. *The natural map*

$$H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord} \to H^1(\overline{X_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord,I}$$

is an isomorphism for all r.

Proof. Indeed, the isomorphism of Theorem 6.2 is the composition of this map and the isomorphism of Theorem 1.1. □

Corollary 6.4. *The cohomology of the special fiber satisfies a control theorem. That is, if*

$$H(Y)_\Lambda = \varprojlim H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}$$

then $H(Y)_\Lambda$ *is a finite, free* Λ*-module and*

$$H(Y)_\Lambda/\omega_r = H^1(\overline{Y_r}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{ord}$$

for all r*.*

Proof. Immediate from the previous corollary, since H^I_Λ satisfies the Control Theorem. □

Theorem 1.2 now follows. Indeed, to show that the complex is acyclic, it suffices by Nakayama's lemma to show that it is acyclic modulo ω_1. By the Corollary 6.4, we have reduced to the case $r = 1$, which is Proposition 3.6.

References

[B-K] Bloch, S.; Kato, K. p-adic tale cohomology. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 63 (1986), 107-152.

[D-R] Deligne, P.; Rapoport, M. Les schmas de modules de courbes elliptiques. (French) Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pp. 143-316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[H] Hyodo, Osamu. A note on p-adic tale cohomology in the semistable reduction case. Invent. Math. 91 (1988), no. 3, 543-557.

[I] Illusie, L. An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology. Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmtiques, II. Astrisque No. 279 (2002), 271-322.

[K-F] Fukaya, T; Kato, K. On conjectures of Sharifi. (In preparation).

[K-M] Katz, N.; Mazur, B. Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 108. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985. xiv+514 pp. ISBN: 0-691-08349-5; 0-691-08352-5.

[G] Gross, B. A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod S). Duke Math. J. 61 (1990), no. 2, 445517.

[M-W] Mazur, B; Wiles, A. Class fields of abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, Invent. Math. 76 (1984), no. 2, 179-330.

[O1] Ohta, M. On the p-adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism for Λ-adic cusp forms. J. Reine Angew. Math. 463 (1995), 49-98.

[O2] Ohta, M. Ordinary p-adic tale cohomology groups attached to towers of elliptic modular curves. Compositio Math. 115 (1999), no. 3, 241-301.

[O3] Ohta, M. Ordinary p-adic tale cohomology groups attached to towers of elliptic modular curves. II. Math. Ann. 318 (2000), no. 3, 557-583.

[O4] Ohta, M. Companion forms and the structure of p-adic Hecke algebras. II. J. Math. Soc. Japan 59 (2007), no. 4, 913-951.

[Sa] Saito, T. Log smooth extension of a family of curves and semi-stable reduction. J. Algebraic Geom. 13 (2004), no. 2, 287-321.

[S] Sharifi, R. A reciprocity map and the two-variable p-adic L-function. Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 1, 251-300.

Department of Mathematics, Eckhart Hall, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637
email: pwake@math.uchicago.edu